[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT

The Court has reviewed the papers filed in support of the application for Final Approval, including the Settlement Agreement and exhibits thereto, memoranda and briefs submitted on behalf of Plaintiff Mark Nilchian ("Representative Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and the certified Settlement Class, and Defendant Raw Farm LLC f/k/a Organic Pastures Dairy Company ("Defendant"), and supporting declarations.

Based on the papers filed with the Court and the presentations made to the Court by the parties, it appears to the Court that the Settlement Agreement executed by the parties is fair, adequate, and reasonable.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. The terms used in this Judgment shall have the same meanings as defined in the Settlement Agreement, except as otherwise specified herein. This Order shall constitute the Final Judgment and Order Approving Settlement as defined in Section IX of the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all parties thereto, including all members of the Settlement Class, as defined in Paragraph 3 below.
- 3. On February 7, 2025, in preliminarily approving the Settlement Agreement, the Court conditionally certified a Settlement Class in the Action, defined as follows:

All residents of California who purchased for personal use, and not resale or distribution, a Covered Product¹ between May 1, 2020 through July 1, 2020.

- 4. The Court has determined that the Representative Plaintiff is an appropriate representative of the Settlement Class, and that the Settlement Class should be certified as described in Paragraph 3 of this Order.
- 5. The Court has determined that the notice that has been given to potential members of the Settlement Class, in the form, manner and content of the notices specified in Sections V and VII of the Settlement Agreement, was in conformity with the Settlement Agreement, and that it fully and accurately informed potential members of the Settlement Class of the material

¹ The Covered Product is Defendant's Cream Top — whole Raw Milk product.

elements of the proposed settlement, provided the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted valid, due and sufficient notice to all potential Settlement Class members. Said notice procedures fully satisfied the requirements of due process and California Rule of Court 3.766.

- 6. The Court has considered, inter alia, the strengths and weaknesses of the claims of Representative Plaintiff and the Settlement Class, the defenses to those claims, the risks of finding no liability against Defendant, and the time and expense necessary to prosecute the action through trial and appeals. The Court finds and concludes in light of all of the circumstances that the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate.
- 7. There is no evidence of collusion, fraud or tortious conduct by any of the parties to the Settlement Agreement aimed at causing injury to the interests of any person. Moreover, the Court finds that the settlement embodied in the Settlement Agreement is entered into and made in good faith.
- 8. The Court confirms that Mark Nilchian is appointed as Class Representative and Abbas Kazerounian, David J. McGlothlin, and Ryan L. McBride of Kazerouni Law Group, APC are confirmed as Class Counsel. The Court finds that Plaintiff's Counsel is competent and capable of exercising all responsibilities as Class Counsel.
- 9. The Court finds that the requested fees of Class Counsel are reasonable and the hourly rates of Class Counsel are fair and reasonable.
- 10. No persons made a timely and valid request for exclusion from the Settlement Class pursuant to the Class Notice.
 - 11. No persons filed any objections to Final Approval of the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. Pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769, this Court hereby grants Final Approval to the Settlement and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair to the Settlement Class.
- 13. Each claim released in Section IX of the Settlement Agreement is hereby fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged, and all Persons who are members of

- 3 -

the Settlement Class are hereby barred and permanently enjoined from commencing, prosecuting or continuing, either directly or indirectly, any such claims against Defendant or any other entity covered by said release.

- 14. Pursuant to CRC Rule 3.771(b), notice of the judgment shall be posted on the Class Website.
- 15. In the event that this Order does not become Final or the Settlement otherwise does not become effective in accordance with its terms, then the following shall apply:
- (a) The Settlement Agreement and all orders and findings entered in connection therewith shall become null and void and of no further force and effect, and shall not be used or referred to for any purposes whatsoever and shall not be admissible for any reason in any proceeding whatsoever, nor discoverable in any proceeding except as, and unless specifically required by law;
- (b) The certification of the Settlement Class pursuant to this Order shall be vacated automatically, Plaintiff Mark Nilchian shall cease to function as a representative of the Settlement Class, and his counsel shall cease to function as counsel for the Settlement Class;
 - (c) This Action shall revert to its status before the execution of the Agreement;
- (d) Nothing contained in this Order is, or may be construed as an admission or concession by or against the Defendant on any point of fact or law;
- (e) Nothing in this Order or pertaining to the Settlement Agreement shall be used as evidence in any further proceeding in this case, including but not limited to any motion for class certification or any motion for class notice; and
- (f) The Settlement Agreement and all negotiations and proceedings relating thereto shall be withdrawn without prejudice as to the rights of any and all parties thereto, who shall be restored to their respective positions as of the date of the execution of the Settlement Agreement.

- 16. Neither the fact of settlement, the Settlement Agreement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Settlement is, or may be deemed to be, or may be used as an admission of evidence of:
 - (a) the validity of any claim released under the Settlement;
- (b) any wrongdoing or liability of any person or entity released as a part of the Settlement; or
- (c) any fault or omission in any civil, criminal or administrative proceeding in any tribunal.

The Settlement Agreement and/or this Order may be filed in any other action to support a defense or counterclaim based on any theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim, including, but not limited to, res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction.

- 17. This Court determines that there is no just reason for delaying the entry of this Order. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs entry of this Order as a final judgment pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6 and California Rule of Court 3.769.
- (a) Class Counsel are awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of \$178,334.84 and costs and expenses in the amount of \$6,665.16 to be paid by Defendant pursuant to the provisions of the Settlement Agreement.
- (b) Defendant will pay, pursuant to the provisions of the Settlement Agreement, \$5,000 to named plaintiff and class representative Mark Nilchian as additional payment for serving as Class Representative.
- (c) The Settlement Administrator will pay all valid claims that have been submitted pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, and distribute any monies remaining in the Class Settlement Fund to National Consumer Law Center, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 18. The Final Accounting hearing is set for April 27, 2026 at 1:30pm in Department CX103.

1	///
2	///
3	///
4	///
5	19. This Court will retain jurisdiction over the parties to enforce the terms of this
6	Judgment.
7	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	
10	
11	Dated: September 30, 2025
12	ByHON. DAVID A. HOFFER
13	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	- 6 -
	[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT